



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/523,715	05/17/2005	Jens Birger Nilsson	66352-035-7	8394
25269	7590	12/26/2008		
DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC			EXAMINER	
FRANKLIN SQUARE, THIRD FLOOR WEST			GODEN SCHWAGER, PETER F	
1300 I STREET, NW				
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/26/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/523,715	<b>Applicant(s)</b> NILSSON, JENS BIRGER
	<b>Examiner</b> PETER F. GODENSCHWAGER	<b>Art Unit</b> 1796

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) PETER F. GODENSCHWAGER. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Richard Tushin. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 16 December 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: those pending.

Identification of prior art discussed: That of record, specifically Mevel (US Pat. 3,274,105).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The pH of the composition was discussed as it relates to Mevel. A possible declaration was discussed to show the criticality of the claimed pH range or to show the criticality of the citric acid/acetic acid blend for the acidic concentrate.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Mark Eashoo/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796
--	---